

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,688	EASTMAN, ROBERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. William Blackman.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005

Time: 2pm(approx)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-16,20-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Oxford-628, Murphy-159

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to add the "valveless" language to claim 12, and to claims 6 and 22, the language requiring that the "reinforcing member is more than twice as thick as the outer layer." These changes serve to distinguish over the Oxford and Murphy references.